

**PROCEDURE FOR THE MID-TERM EVALUATION CARRIED OUT BY
THE COMMITTEE AT THE DOCTORAL SCHOOL OF
THE UNIVERSITY OF LIFE SCIENCES IN LUBLIN**

§ 1.

The Committee carrying out the mid-term evaluation conducts the evaluation according to the procedure referred to in § 32 of the Regulations for the Doctoral School of the University of Life Sciences in Lublin approved by the Resolution no. 65/2019-2020 of 8 May 2020.

§ 2.

1. The Committee shall determine whether the PhD student conducts research in accordance with the submitted individual research plan (IPB), including the doctoral dissertation preparation schedule (**Yes** or **No**).

2. If it is determined that the research is consistent with the submitted research plan (**Yes**), the result of the evaluation is positive and the Committee shall prepare the justification for the evaluation. In the event of non-compliance (**No**), the Committee shall make the arrangements referred to in § 3.

§ 3.

1. The Committee shall determine, whether the explanations provided regarding the reasons for the failure to implement the plan are duly justified and documented and whether the corrective actions indicated ensure the implementation of the plan (**Yes** or **No**).

2. If it is determined that the explanations are duly justified and documented and corrective actions indicated ensure the implementation of the plan (**Yes**), the Committee shall make the arrangements referred to in § 2.

3. If it is determined that the explanations are not adequately justified and documented or corrective actions indicated do not ensure the implementation of the plan (**No**), the result of the evaluation is negative and the Committee shall prepare the justification for the evaluation.

§ 4.

1. The Committee shall determine, whether the PhD student's progress in the implementation of the research plan enables him/her to complete his/her education at the Doctoral School (submit the doctoral dissertation) within the deadline specified in the plan (**Yes** or **No**).

2. If it is determined that the PhD student's progress in the implementation of the research plan enables him/her to submit the doctoral dissertation within the deadline specified in the plan (**Yes**), the result of the evaluation is positive and the Committee shall prepare the justification for the evaluation.

3. If it is determined that the PhD student's progress in the implementation of the research plan does not enable him/her to submit the doctoral dissertation within the deadline specified in the plan (**No**), the result of the evaluation is negative and the Committee shall prepare the justification of the evaluation.

§ 5.

Procedure for the mid-term evaluation referred to in § 2 – § 4 is defined by the following graphic:

PROCEDURE FOR THE MID-TERM EVALUATION

